| V. | Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
|---|---|

1. Statement

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Novelty (N) | Claims 3-4, 7-13, 16-20, 24-27 | YES |
| | Claims 1-2, 5-6, 14-15, 21-23 | NO |
| Inventive step (IS) | Claims 3-4, 7-13, 16-20, 24-27 | YES |
| | Claims 1-2, 5-6, 14-15, 21-23 | NO |
| Industrial applicability (IA) | Claims 1-27 | YES |
| | Claims | NO |

2. Citations and explanations

CITATIONS:-

D1.  EP 0 947 908 A2 (FUJITISU LTD) 6 October 1999

D2.  US 6 209 104 B1 (JALILI) 27 March 2001

D3.  US 2001/0044906 A1 (KANEVSKY et al) 22 November 2001

D4.  Real User, PassfacesTM for Windows Administration Guide Release 0.9 (online) September 2002, (retrieved on 21 February 2007). Retrieved from the Internet <URL:http://web.archive.org/web/20050316151106/www.realuser.com/published/PassfacesforWindowsAdminGuide.pdf>

D5.  US 2003/0093699 A1 (BANNING et al) 15 May 2003

NOVELTY:- Claims 1-27

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 14-15 and 21-23 are not novel under Section 14 of the Singapore Act when compared to citations D1 to D5.

All of the above citations disclose a method of authenticating a person for access to a particular server or service by the use of an image table which randomly displays images to be selected by the user during the authentication process.

Claims 3-4, 7-13, 16-20 and 24-27 are novel under Section 14 of the Singapore Act because the citations do not disclose storing the access location of the terminal at the server, nor do they disclose the features of moving the images to a set position.

INVENTIVE STEP:- Claims 1-27

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 14-15 and 21-23 lack an inventive step under Section 15 of the Singapore Act when compared to the above citations for the same reasons as outlined above.

Claims 3-4, 7-13, 16-20 and 24-27 are considered to be inventive under Section 15 of the Singapore Act for the same reasons as stated above.